



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,161	04/16/2004	Shyang Fong Chung	CHUN3077/EM	7848	
23364 7	7590 01/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			LACYK,	LACYK, JOHN P	
625 SLATERS FOURTH FLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3736		
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/200	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SW	

	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Communication	10/825,161	CHUNG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John P Lacyk	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres.	s				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		•				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	= : : :					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	je				
application from the International Bo	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
<ul> <li>≥) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944)</li> <li>B) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S)</li> </ul>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/825,161 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barak et al in view of Shumiyashu.

Barak et al discloses a portable therapeutic device for treating deep vein thrombosis (DVT) having a plurality of air passages (see abstract; column 4, lines 25-27), each connected to a control unit (3) that contains a pump means for inflation and deflation and associated electronics to control the device and hoses (4) connected at openings (6) to connect the plurality of air passages to the pump means. The air passages are parallel to each other (Figure 2). The device is controlled by a control unit (3) that operates valves (66 and 61) to inflate and deflate the air passages. While Barak et al. shows (Figure 5) the device having a pressure between 20-300mmHg and a power source of 6V, these are also considered to have been obvious since it is not a patentable distinction to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed. Further while Barak et al does not disclose the specific circuitry used, the use of amplifiers and switches, etc. are well known in the art of electronic controllers and would be inherent in order to allow for proper use of the device. Barak et al discloses the claimed device except for the use of magnets.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,161

Art Unit: 3736

Page 3

Further it is known in the art of DVT therapy that a device, as shown above, is used to assist in blood circulation to prevent clotting of the venous blood. Shumiyashu teaches that it is well known to use magnets applied to the body to improve blood circulation (column 1, lines 9-15). Therefore a modification of Barak et al to includes a plurality of magnets would have been obvious since it is prima facie obvious to combine two devices each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third device used for the very same purpose. The idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art.

- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barak et al in view of Shumiyashu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Morris et al. Barak et al teaches the claimed device except for specifically using a non-elastic outer layer. Morris et al teaches a similar device that uses a portable device having an air cushion to treat DVT and shows (column 2, lines 15-27) that "A generally inelastic member is preferably used to fully enclose the bladder". Therefore a modification of Barak et al to include a non-elastic outer layer would have been obvious in view of the teachings of Morris et al. With respect to the specific width of the air passages and distance between magnets, as discussed above, to find the optimum or workable ranges is an obvious expedient to one skilled in the art.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lin is cited to further show the use of magnetic stimulation to

Art Unit: 3736

treat deep vein thrombosis and particularly teaches using a magnetic field strength of .5-10 T.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P Lacyk whose telephone number is 571-272-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P Lacyk
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3736

J.P. Lacyk